## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

In re:

Docket #13cv8304

WANDA SINCLAIR, et al.,

Plaintiffs, :

- against - : New York, New York

November 3, 2014

CITY OF NEW YORK, et al.,

:

Defendants.

-----:

PROCEEDINGS BEFORE

THE HONORABLE JAMES L. COTT,

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT MAGISTRATE JUDGE

APPEARANCES:

For Plaintiffs: MADUEGBUNA COOPER LLP

BY: SAMUEL MADUEGBUNA, ESQ. 30 Wall Street, Eighth floor New York, New York 10005

(212) 232-0155

For Defendant: NEW YORK CITY LAW DEPARTMENT

BY: DONNA CANFIELD, ESQ.

100 Church Street

New York, New York 10007

(212) 356-2461

Transcription Service: Carole Ludwig, Transcription Services

141 East Third Street #3E New York, New York 10009 Phone: (212) 420-0771 Fax: (212) 420-6007

Proceedings recorded by electronic sound recording;

Transcript produced by transcription service

INDEX

EXAMINATIONS

WitnessDirectCrossDirectCross

None

EXHIBITS

Exhibit Voir Number Description ID In Dire

None

2	THE COURT: This is the case of Sinclair,
3	Sherard, Mercado, and Holder v. New York City and several
4	other named defendants. The docket number is 13cv8304.
5	The case is assigned to Judge Castel. He has referred the
6	case to me for general pretrial supervision, including
7	settlement. We have had a lengthy settlement conference
8	today, and it's my understanding that the parties have
9	reached an agreement as to the material terms of a
10	settlement.
11	It is further my understanding that the parties
12	desire to place those material terms on the record at this
13	time with the understanding that they will be memorializing
14	their agreement in a written instrument.

The purpose behind this proceeding is, however, to ensure that the parties have an enforceable and binding agreement such that, if either side needed to, they would be able to seek enforcement of the settlement terms in the unlikely event that they don't otherwise memorialize it in writing.

Let me ask Mr. Maduegbuna is that your understanding of how we're proceeding?

MR. SAMUEL MADUEGBUNA: Yes, Your Honor.

24 THE COURT: And, Miss Canfield, is that your 25 understanding of how we're proceeding?

MS. DONNA CANFIELD: Yes, Your Honor.

THE COURT: All right. Let me suggest, first, that counsel put the terms on the record, and once counsel have done so to their collective satisfaction, then I will ask their respective clients if they understand those terms and agree to be bound by those terms. So I don't know which of you wishes to proceed.

MR. MADUEGBUNA: Counsel should go.

THE COURT: Miss Canfield, why don't you set forth on the record what you understand those terms to be, and then I'll ask Mr. Maduegbuna if he has something that he wishes to supplement or otherwise modify in some fashion.

each of the plaintiffs a back pay amount. For plaintiff
Sinclair, defendants have agreed to pay her a back pay from
November 20, 2010 to the present at a rate of 8 percent on her
current \$75,754 annual salary. For plaintiff Mercado we agree
to pay her a back pay amount of 8 percent on her current
\$76,107 annual salary. That's from November 20, 2010 to
present. For plaintiff Sherard, defendants agree to pay her a
back pay on her \$72,616 salary from November 20, 2010 to the
date of her retirement. I apologize, Your Honor. For
plaintiff Sherard we are paying her from November 20, 2009 to
the date of her retirement, which is approximately 3.5 years.

1 | 5

to the date of her retirement.

And for plaintiff Holder defendants agree to pay her a back pay amount on her \$60,143 annual salary from November 20, 2009

Now, for each of the plaintiffs, to the extent that their annual salaries were subjected to a collective bargaining increase, that will be factored into the base annual salary rate. Defendants also agree to pay each of the defendants \$50,000 compensatory damages, and defendants agree to an amount of \$50,000 for attorney's fees and costs.

Finally, to the extent each plaintiff wishes, the amounts that they, each plaintiff is receiving in back pay will be pensionable, and that will be articulated in the settlement agreement. Defendants do request, however, that plaintiffs provide exact dates that they want those amounts to be pensionable to. I think that --

THE COURT: That covers what your understanding is?

MS. CANFIELD: -- covers the terms of the agreement.

THE COURT: Mr. Madueqbuna.

MR. MADUEGBUNA: Your Honor, thank you. Just a few clarifications. With respect to plaintiffs Diane Sherard and Sharon Holder, just to be clear on the record, defendants agree to pay them back pay on their salaries at the rate of 8 percent. I don't know if you mentioned that.

on the pension plan that they are in. So I wasn't quite clear
what counsel means by to the extent that they wish, and that
is pensionable, that it should provide amounts and the dates
they want the amounts pensionable. I'm not quite clear on

MS. CANFIELD: The plaintiffs are receiving four years of back pay, but if they only want three years to be pensionable, they need to designate that to counsel so that can be included in the settlement agreement. If that's what they want. They can have it spread over the four years.

(pause in proceeding)

that.

MS. CANFIELD: No, counsel was just recommending that they probably want to do it over the four years instead of the three. But it's up to the plaintiffs how they want to allocate it.

MR. MADUEGBUNA: I understand that. And all of this would be subject to a settlement agreement that will be reviewed and signed by the plaintiffs.

MS. CANFIELD: Correct.

MR. MADUEGBUNA: Okay.

THE COURT: Well, just - I'm sorry to interpose myself. It will be subject to that, but my understanding, and this was the purpose of my earlier question, was that in the highly unlikely event that you are not able to memorialize the

2 agreement in a written instrument, what we're putting on the record tonight are going to be enforceable terms such that you 3 would be able to enforce this agreement on behalf of the 4 plaintiffs if the City otherwise no longer said it was, in 5 fact, what they were willing to do. And conversely, the City 6 could seek to move to enforce this agreement if your clients 7 for some reason decided not to carry forward with it. 8 9 my understanding of why we were putting this on the record 10 tonight. Is that not correct? 11 MR. MADUEGBUNA: That is correct. 12 THE COURT: So it is subject to - I mean it is 13 further to perhaps I would say rather than subject to because

further to perhaps I would say rather than subject to because to say subject to, it seems to me, means that what we're doing here tonight won't have any binding and enforceable effect, and my understanding is both sides wanted to, in fact, have a binding and enforceable effect. Correct?

MR. MADUEGBUNA: That's correct, Your Honor, yes.

19 THE COURT: Is that your understanding, Miss

20 Canfield, as well?

1

14

15

16

17

18

23

24

25

21 MS. CANFIELD: Yes, it is, Your Honor.

22 THE COURT: All right.

MR. MADUEGBUNA: So what I meant to say is that there will be an agreement that spells out the fine details of this, including what kind of W2 or W9 forms that will be

THE COURT: All right, let me then ask each of the individual plaintiffs if they understand the terms that have been put on the record tonight and if they agree to be bound

23

24

25

you authorized here tonight to bind the agency to the extent

25

THE COURT: All right, very well. Let me say a couple of things. First of all, I will report this to Judge Castel who will obviously be very pleased that the parties have been able to resolve their lawsuit, and I will also, I will tell him that the parties plan to submit some kind of a stipulation to the Court. Shall I report to him that that

22

23

24

25

```
1
                                                          12
 2
    would likely happen within 30 days of today?
 3
    realistic?
              MS. CANFIELD:
                              Yes, Your Honor.
 4
 5
              MR. MADUEGBUNA:
                                Yes.
              THE COURT:
                           All right, so I will so advise him.
 6
 7
    Let me also thank counsel for your hard work today. On behalf
 8
    of the Court, it's very much appreciated. And let me also
    especially thank the individual plaintiffs who are not lawyers
 9
10
    who have now spent the better part of eight hours in the
11
    courthouse today, and your patience is very much been
12
    appreciated. I know this was a long and challenging morning
13
    and afternoon for you all, but I'm grateful that you were able
14
    to stick with it and that we were able to get to a resolution
15
    of your case.
16
              So thank you all very much, and I wish you a good
17
    evening.
18
              MR. MADUEGBUNA:
                                Okay. Thank you, Your Honor.
19
              MS. CANFIELD:
                              Thank you.
20
              (Whereupon the matter is adjourned.)
21
22
23
24
25
```

1	
2	<u>CERTIFICATE</u>
3	
4	I, Carole Ludwig, certify that the foregoing
5	transcript of proceedings in the United States District
6	Court, Southern District of New York, Sinclair, et al. v.
7	City of New York, et al., Docket #13cv8304, was prepared
8	using digital transcription software and is a true and
9	accurate record of the proceedings.
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	Signature
15	
16	Date: March 1, 2015
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	